Physical properties improvement of the diesel engine lubricant oil reinforced nanomaterials

Nizar Jawad HADI, Rand Kareem Abd AL-HUSSAIN

DOI: 10.30464/jmee.2018.2.3.233

Cite this article as:

Open Access
This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES IMPROVEMENT OF THE
DIESEL ENGINE LUBRICANT OIL REINFORCED
NANOMATERIALS

Nizar Jawad HADI1*, Rand Kareem Abd AL-HUSSAIN2

1* Department of Polymer and Petrochemical Industries, College of Materials Engineering, University of Babylon, Iraq, e-mail: nizarjawad63@uobabylon.edu.iq
2 Department of Polymer and Petrochemical Industries, College of Materials Engineering, University of Babylon, Iraq, e-mail: randalmurshed@yahoo.com

(Received 16 August 2018, Accepted 20 September 2018)

Abstract: This research focuses on the effect of nanomaterials on the physical properties of a local Iraqi lubricant oil (20W-50), which is widely used in diesel engines. The concentrations of 0.001, 0.2 and 0.5 wt% of Al2O3 NPs and CNT are dispersed in the oil with the help of a suitable surfactant using a magnetic stirrer and a sonication process. The density, surface tension, dynamic viscosity, kinematic viscosity, flash point, fire point, pour point, thermal conductivity, thermal images, wear and the coefficient of friction of the oil with and without nanomaterials are tested. The results were shown that the higher concentrations of NPs, the better properties for the engine oil. The density for Al2O3 nanooil indicates a small change at 0.001 and 0.2 wt%, and a decrease at 0.5 wt% ratio. Also, the density of CNT oil shows a slight change at 0.001and then decreasing at 0.2 and 0.5 ratios. In addition, the surface tension of both nanooils are increased. The dynamic viscosity slightly change with an addition of the NPs especially at 20°C and 30°C. Also, there is a convergence in the viscosity values between base and nanooils at 40°C and 50°C. Also, the dynamic viscosity indicates shear thickening behavior at low shear rate, while in the high shear rate the viscosity attempts to be more stable. The kinematic viscosity increases with an increased concentration of the NPs at 40°C and 100°C for both nanooils. The flash and fire point are increasing for both nanooils and Al2O3 nanooil indicates a lower pour point than that of CNT oil. CNT oil indicates higher dissipating heat friction and thermal conductivity than that of Al2O3 nanooil. Thermal images are supported by thermal conductivity and flash point behavior, while the tribology tests are compatible with viscosity behavior. A significant reduction in the coefficient of friction and wear loss is produced for both nanooils.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lubricant oil plays an important role in the production of pollution in lubrication, cooling and combustion systems. Nanomaterial used as additives to enhance the physical properties, improve the wear resistance, increase power vehicle, reduce the harmful emission and improve the thermal and flow behavior. Lubricant is characterized as a substance presented between two surfaces in relative movement to anticipate contact, enhance proficiency and decrease wear [1]. The properties of lubricating oil are modified by the use of different sorts of additives. This substances are chemical compounds added to lubricant oils to provide particular properties to oils. The added substances such as a viscosity improver, an anti-wear added substance, a friction reducer, rust/erosion inhibitors deal with grinding and wear properties [2]. Metal oxide nano-particles have been extensively developed in the last decades (ten years) [21]. In order to increase heat dissipation, the standard methodology is to expand the surface area accessible for the lubricant liquid. Nanoparticles, due to their unique
properties, as a new kind of additive material for the purpose of improving the properties of lubricants, are very interesting and have attracted attention. So far, the anti-wear and anti-friction properties of different nanolubricants and also the heat transfer properties of fluids which contain different nanostructures have been studied by many researchers [3]. Nanotechnology could be used to enhance the possibilities of developing conventional and stranded gas resources and to improve the drilling process and oil and gas production by making it easier to separate oil and gas in the reservoir. Nanotechnology can make the oil and gas industry considerably greener [22].

Nano-fluids are a suspension of metallic or metal-oxide solid nanoparticles with sizes varying generally from 1 to 100 nm, dispersed in conventional liquids such as water, ethylene glycol and engine oils etc. Nano-fluid technology is a new interdisciplinary field of great importance where nanoscience, nanotechnology and thermal engineering meet [4, 20]. Rheology plays a critical part in the flow behavior of engines oils during preparation and manufacturing [5]. Viscosity modifiers or thickness index improvers as they were formally known, includes a class of materials that enhances the viscosity/temperature properties of the oil. This adjustment of rheological properties result in an expanded viscosity index of the base oil. Viscosity modifiers are commonly oil soluble organic polymers with molecular weight running from around 10,000 to 1 million [6]. Throughout the years, numerous analysts centered their considerations to make new sorts of heat exchange liquids by suspending little (micrometer measured) particles in conventional fluids to improve their thermal transport performances [7]. Heat exchange liquids can show critical increments in thermal conductivity with an addition of highly conductive particles [8]. Since the thermal conductivities of most strong materials are higher than those of liquids, thermal conductivities of molecule–liquid blends are predictable to increase. Liquids with higher thermal conductivities would have possibilities for some thermal organization applications [9].

The addition of less conductive aluminum oxide particles were reported to increase the resulting thermal conductivities of base liquids by up to 30% at molecule volume portion of Al₂O₃ of 5%. Single walled carbon nanotubes are 100 times more stronger than steel at one-sixth the weight, and their thermal conductivity is around 5–10 times greater than that of exceptionally conductive materials such as aluminum or copper [8, 15]. Carbon nanotubes, because of their high thermal conductivity coefficient, are famous as a perfect material for the production of nanofluids [3]. A reduction in the friction coefficient and worn scar depth was seen by an addition of nanoparticles to motor oil. It is concluded that viscosity impact is one reason for the friction coefficient reduction [10]. In tribology, some nanomaterials were added into lubricating oil to enhance extreme pressure, wear resistance and friction decrease properties [11]. The tribological properties of TiO₂, LaF₃, Graphite, Poly tetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), MoS₂,La(OH)₃, PbS, Lanthanum Borate,Titanium Borate, Zinc Borate, Ferrous Borate, Ni, CaCO₃ and ZnO NPs utilized as added substances as a part of oil have been examined. Results demonstrate that they can deposit on the rubbing surface and enhance the tribological properties of the base oil [12]. Concentration of nanoparticles in oil is, obviously, a critical parameter. Low concentration of NPs is adequate to enhance the erosion and wear behavior [13]. A decrease of friction and wear leads to increase in service life, less downtime and lower working expenses [14].

Mohammadhassan Vasheghani and et al [16] Thermal conductivity of α-Al₂O₃ was measured using the hot wire method. α-Al₂O₃ (20 nm in size) was synthesized by the microwave method for which, the results were compared with commercially available γ-Al₂O₃. The thermal conductivity of nanofluids was investigated considering its dependency on the Al₂O₃ phase. It was observed that by adding 3 wt% of nano γ-Al₂O₃ and α-Al₂O₃ to engine oil, thermal conductivity increases by 37 and 31%, respectively. The corresponding viscosity increases for the same amount of nano γ-Al₂O₃ and α-Al₂O₃ were 36 and 38%, respectively. It was concluded that the differences in thermal conductivity originate from a higher specific surface area of γ-Al₂O₃ compared to the α-Al₂O₃ which is the result of porosity difference, obtained during the synthesis process.

A.Hernández Battez and et.al. [17] This work presents and discusses the antiwear behaviour of nanoparticles suspensions in a polyalphaolefin (PAO 6). CuO, ZnO and ZrO₂ nanoparticles were separately dispersed at 0.5%, 1.0% and 2.0% wt. in PAO 6 using an ultrasonic probe for 2 min. AW properties were obtained using a TE53SLIM tribometer with a block-on-ring configuration. Tests were made under a load of 165 N, sliding speed of 2 m/s and a total distance of 3.066 m. Wear surfaces were analysed by scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) after wear tests. The study led to the following conclusions: all nanoparticle suspensions exhibited reductions in friction and wear compared to the base oil; the suspensions with 0.5% of ZnO and ZrO₂ had the best general tribological behaviour, exhibiting high friction and wear reduction values even at low deposition levels on the wear surface; CuO suspensions showed the highest friction coefficient and the lowest wear per nanoparticle content of 2%; and the antiewear mechanism of nanoparticulate additive was produced by tribo-sintering.
The aim of this work is the enhancement of the flow, thermal and tribological properties of a diesel engine oil by mixing it with different ratios of NPs and CNT. Different percentages of Al$_2$O$_3$ NPs and CNT are mixed with a local Iraqi lubricant oil (20W-50) by using magnetic stirrer and sonication process. Different properties such as density, surface tension, dynamic viscosity, kinematic viscosity, flash point, fire point, pour point thermal conductivity thermal images and wear and friction coefficient with and without nanomaterials are tested. These different tests are supported by each other quantitatively and qualitatively, for example in viscosity and thermal behavior.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used in this study include a local lubricant oil (20W-50) provided from Al-doura station, which is widely used in diesel engines. Alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) with the diameter of (39.3 nm) and single walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) with the diameter (0.6-1.1 nm) are provided from (HWNANO/CHINA). The properties of base oil, and Oleic acid as a surfactant are shown in the tables (1 and 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density g/cm$^3$</td>
<td>0.8036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash point °C</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire point °C</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension (mN/m)</td>
<td>26.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour point</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity (cp)</td>
<td>478.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinematic viscosity (mm$^2$/sec) at 40°C</td>
<td>34.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yellowish to brown, oily clear liquid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solubility</td>
<td>Soluble in alcohol, chloroform &amp; ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine value</td>
<td>90-105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid value</td>
<td>195-204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxide value</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. PREPARATION OF NANOIOIL

The method of the preparation of the nanooils includes the following steps.
1. Using glove box consisting of balance and vacuum conditional to mix the lubricant oil and nano materials.
2. Weighing (0.001,0.2 and 0.5 wt%) of Al$_2$O$_3$ NPs and SWCNT and mixed with 150 ml of base oil in glove box in order to prepare the nanooil.
3. Weighing of 0.3 wt% of Oleic acid as a surfactant and mixing with the nanooil.
4. Mixing the resulting oil by using the magnetic stirrer for 20 min. at the room temperature and an ultrasonic device for 30 min. at 50°C and 480 watt.

4. CHARACTERIZATIONS

4.1. Density

The measuring of density was performed at room temperature by using (matsu haku high precision density tester gp-120s), and all experiment was calculated according to the Archimedes law.

4.2. Surface tension

The surface tension of the samples is measured by using JZYW-2008 automatic interface Tensiometer supply by being united test co., ltd.

4.3. Rheology Test

Dynamic viscosity (cone-plate viscometer)

The dynamic viscosity of the samples is examined using a cone – plate viscometer (DV- III ultra programmable rheometer) with the cone diameter of 4.8 cm and the cone angle of 3° degree.

Kinematic viscosity

Kinematic viscosity was measured according to SYD-265C (petroleum products kinematic viscosity tester) for each samples of lubricant oil by using viscometer at 40 and 100°C. Kinematic viscosity can be calculated from the following equation:

$$v = c \cdot t$$

where:

$v$: kinematic viscosity (mm$^2$/s)

$c$: nominal viscometer constant (mm$^2$/s$^2$)

$t$: time required to passing the oil through limited marks in the viscometer (s)

For the base lubricant oil, the kinematic viscosity at 40°C and 100°C respectively is calculated as follows:

At 40°C $v = 2.6 \times 49.9 = 29.74$ mm$^2$/s

At 100°C $v = 2.6 \times 10.5 = 27.3$ mm$^2$/s

Pour point

All the samples were tested by using GD-510D Petroleum products pour point & cloud point tester.
Flash and Fire point

All the samples in this test are examined using SYD3536 flash point and a fire point device. Approximately 70 mL of the oil test specimen is filled into a test cup. The temperature of the oil is increased rapidly and then it maintains at a constant rate increasing as the flash point is reached. At specified intervals, a test flame is passed across the cup to check the fire point.

4.4. Thermal Test

Thermal conductivity

There are many methods to find out the thermal conductivity of nanofluid and the transient hot-wire method. KD2 is the best suited method for that. The thermal conductivity is measured by using a KD2 Pro thermal properties analyzer (Decagon Devices, Inc., USA).

Thermal Camera

The thermal images are captured during the coefficient of friction test for each oil samples with and without the nanomaterials. The thermal analyzing of the oil layer in the contact region of a bin on the isk in the tribological test is examined by using the FLIR T6xx series camera as shown in Fig.1. Each image is captured for each three minutes of the test and the distance between the camera and the contact region of the bin on the disk is 47 cm as shown in the Fig.2. The spots locations in the contact zone of the pin on the disk device where the thermal imager are captured are shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. The spots locations in the contact zone of pin on disk device where thermal images captured in each wear test

Fig. 1. FLIR Thermal

Fig. 2. Thermal camera location with respect to the contact zone

Tribology test

Wear and the coefficient of friction are examined by using the pin on the disk device (ASTM G99, MODEL 28021- MADRIED SPAN) as shown in Fig. 4. In this test, the upper ball was fixed and the lower disk was rotating. The pin specimen (the upper sample) was AISI 52100 steel ball, with 4 mm diameter, roughness 0.952 Mm and a hardness of 838 HV. The disk specimen (the lower sample) was made from tin and bromide and it represents the bearing part of the diesel engine with (2.5 cm long x 2 cm width), 0.440 Mm roughness and 210.9 HV hardness. All the tests were carried out under 25 N, 35 N and 45 N, where the disk rotating at constant speed of 300 rpm at the room temperature for 15 min. The temperature of friction in the contact region measured by the thermal camera (FLIR T6xx) and checked with an infrared thermometer (smart sensor AR872D).

Fig. 4. Pin-on-Disc Sliding Machine

5. DENSITY

Fig 5. shows that the density keeps stable at low concentration and decreases at the high concentration ofnanomaterials addition for both nanooil. The density for Al₂O₃ nanooil indicates a small change at 0.001 and 0.2 wt%, and decreasing at 0.5 wt% ratio. While, the density of CNT oil shows a slight change at 0.001 and then decreasing at 0.2 and 0.5 ratios. The reason behind that is the ability of nanomaterials to inter the spaces between the lubricant chains, which reduces the free volume space and restrains movement there. The increased density of the oil can lead to
many of problems, including: the possibility of corrosion. In high turbulence or in high velocity regions of the system, the fluid can initiate to erode the surface that faces such as pipes and valves. The oxidation progresses with the increasing the density of the oil. Therefore, the reduction in density reduces this problem and simplifies the transportation operation.

6. SURFACE TENSION:

Fig. 6 shows that the surface tension increases with the addition of both types of nanomaterials compared with the base oil. CNT nanooil indicates higher surface tension than that of Al₂O₃ nanoil at 0.001 wt%, while, the Al₂O₃ nanoil indicates higher surface tension at 0.2 and 0.5 wt%. The reason behind that is the Van der Waals forces between the NPs that increase the surface free energy and the attraction force between surface molecules. The oil must possess high surface tension relatively because when the oil is polluted, the surface tension decreases. This has an adverse affect on the oil's properties such as loss of antirust performance, foaming problems and increased leakage. The nanomaterials and surfactant produce the final surface tension behavior. The nanomaterials increase the surface tension due to the high free surface energy of NPs and CNT, while the surfactant reduce the surface tension due to the isolated of nanomaterials by chains.

7. RHEOLOGICAL BEHAVIOR

7.1. Dynamic Viscosity

Temperature Effect

Figs.7 and 8, show that the dynamic viscosity of each of the base oil and nanoils decreases with an increase of the temperature. This behavior is due to the decrease in the forces of cohesion between particles during heating. On the other hand, in general, dynamic viscosity increases with an increase of the concentration of NPs for both nanoils. This increase may belong to the agglomeration of NPs and it creates larger particles that prevent the oil movement. Additionally, there is rapid decreasing in viscosity from 20-40°C and, after 40°C up to 50°C the viscosity gradually decreases for both nanoils. This behavior means that the viscosity tends to be stable at higher temperatures. Also, the CNT oil indicates higher stability at 40°C than that of Al₂O₃ nanoil. Generally, the dynamic viscosity behavior of nanoils is very close to that of base oil. This result gives a good indication for other properties which depend on the viscosity such as the coefficient of friction, the pour point and the movement of the oil inside the engine.
that of Al₂O₃ nanooil. The CNT and Al₂O₃ nanooils indicate higher viscosity and stability at 0.2 wt% than that of the other ratios. The viscosity decreases at 0.5 wt% compared with 0.2 wt%. In general, the dynamic viscosity of both nanooil increases with Al₂O₃ and CNT increases up to 0.2 wt% and then decreases.

![Fig. 9. Dynamic viscosity behavior of Al₂O₃ nanooil as a function of shear rate](image)

![Fig. 10. Dynamic viscosity behavior of CNT oil as a function of shear rate](image)

### 7.2. Kinematic Viscosity

Figs. 11 and 12 show the effect of an addition of the nanomaterials on the kinematic viscosity behavior of the base oil at 40°C and 100°C respectively. The kinematic viscosity of the base oil increases with an increase of the concentrations of the NPs at 40 and 100°C. At 40°C, the kinematic viscosity for CNT and Al₂O₃ nanooils indicate no change at 0.001, while increasing at 0.2 and decreasing at 0.5 wt% ratio. This behavior may belong to the increase of density at 0.2 wt% and decreasing at 0.5 wt%. The CNT oil indicates higher viscosity at 0.2 wt% than that of Al₂O₃ nanooil by about 22%. Whereas, at 100°C, the viscosity of the base oil decreases with the addition of the NPs, and the Al₂O₃ nanooil indicates higher viscosity than that of CNT oil at 0.2 and 0.5 wt%. When the NPs are placed between the oil layers, this leads to the ease of oil layer movement on each other so the viscosity decreases, but when the concentrations of NPs increases, the viscosity increases due to the agglomeration of the NPs and creates larger particles which prevent movement of oil layers on each other this agreement with [18].

![Fig. 11. Kinematic viscosity of base oil, CNT and Al₂O₃ nanooils at 40°C](image)

![Fig. 12. Kinematic viscosity of base oil, CNT and Al₂O₃ nanooils at 100°C](image)

### 7.3. Pour Point

Fig. 13, indicates the effect of the addition of CNT and Al₂O₃ NPs on the pour point behavior of the base oil. Al₂O₃ nanooil indicates lower pour point than that of CNT oil by 4% and 2% at 0.2 and 0.5 wt% respectively. Furthermore, the rate of the changes in the pour point at lower concentrations is much lower than that at higher concentrations. The pour point of base oil decreases with the addition of the NPs, this produces delay in the formation of crystals wax in the cooling oil and this result agree with that of Jamale[2] and Ehsan [3]. Generally, the change or the difference in the viscosity values compatible with results of the pour point. The CNT oil increases indicates higher viscosity than that of Al₂O₃ nanooil at 20°C. For that, the CNT it accelerates the formation of wax crystals in the cooling oil at low temperatures and increases the pour point.

![Fig. 13. Pour point behavior of base oil, CNT and Al₂O₃ nanooils at different concentrations](image)
8. THERMAL BEHAVIOR

8.1. Thermal Conductivity

Figs. 14 and 15, show the thermal conductivity behavior of base oil and both nanooils at different temperature and nanomaterials concentration. Fig. 14 shows that base oil thermal conductivity decreases between 20 to 40°C, then increases up to 50°C. The 0.001 and 0.5 nanooil between 20 and 30°C indicates an increase in thermal conductivity and then a decrease up to 50°C. Also, the 0.2 wt% CNT nanooil exhibits a decrease in thermal conductivity between 20 and 30°C and then it increases up to 50°C. Fig. 15 indicates that the thermal conductivity increases with the Al2O3 NPs increase for all temperatures except of 0.5wt% which decreases at 50°C. In general, the thermal conductivity decreases between 20 to 40°C and then increases up to 50°C. The 0.2 wt% of both nanooil indicates the optimum thermal conductivity behavior, while the 0.2 wt% CNT nanooil exhibits higher and suitable values than that of 0.2 wt% Al2O3 nanooil, this result agrees with that of [19].

Fig. 14. Thermal conductivity behavior of base oil and CNT nanooil at different temperatures

Fig. 15. Thermal conductivity behavior of base oil and Al2O3 nanooil as at different temperatures

8.2. Thermal Images

Figs. 16 and 17 show the temperature behavior observed by thermal images due to the heat of friction of the base oil with the addition of the NPs. The CNT oil indicates lower temperature than that of Al2O3 nanooil, this behavior s with the results of the thermal conductivity in Figs 14 and 15 and Srinivasan [13]. Fig. 16 shows a decrease in the temperature of base oil with an addition of the CNT at all concentrations. Also, CNT oil indicates lower heat of friction at 0.2 wt% than that of the other ratios. Fig. 17, shows a decrease in the temperature of base oil with the addition of the Al2O3 NPs and the lower heat of friction indicates at 0.001 wt%. The, Al2O3 nanooil showed the same behavior of the base oil at 0.2 and 0.5 wt%. Also, in general, the temperature increases for all samples of both nanooils with the load increasing.

Fig. 16. Temperature behavior of different CNT oils during wear test under different loads

Fig. 17. Temperatures behavior of different Al2O3 nanooil during wear test under different loads

Figs 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 show the quantitative and qualitative thermal behavior in the contact zone of wear tests for base oil, CNT oils and Al2O3 nanooils. These images are captured at the sp1, sp2 and sp3 zones, which localized at Fig. 3. The qualitative thermal behavior indicates that the max. and min. magnitude of temperature for CNT oil reduced by about 6 and 9°C at low and high loads respectively compared with that of base oil. The qualitative thermal temperatures of Al2O3 nanooil at max. and min. reduce by about 7 and 2°C for 0.001 ratio and increase by 2°C for 0.2 and 0.5 wt% compared with base oil.
Fig. 18. The temperatures values of the base oil during the wear test under A) 25N, B) 35N and C) 45N.

Fig. 19. The temperatures values of 0.001 wt% CNT during the wear test under A) 25N, B) 35N and C) 45N.

Fig. 20. The temperatures values of 0.2% CNT oil during the wear test under A) 25N, B) 35N and C) 45N.

Fig. 21. The temperatures values of 0.5% CNT oil during the wear test under A) 25N, B) 35N and C) 45N.
9. FLASH AND FIRE POINT

Fig. 25 and 26 show that the flash point and fire point increases for both nanooils respectively. The flash and fire point of the base oil increase increase with an increase of the concentration of the NPs. The CNT oil shows higher flash and fire point than that of the Al₂O₃ nanooil due to the higher thermal conductivity of CNT. Furthermore, the CNT oil indicates higher flash and fire point at 0.5 wt% by 35% and 40% respectively. The flash and fire points prove the compatibility with the thermal conductivity and thermal images tests.
10. TRIBOLOGICAL BEHAVIOR

10.1. Load Effect on Coefficient of Friction

The friction coefficient and wear loss was measured by using the pin on disk tribotester under 10 N, 30 N and 50 N loads for 15 minutes at the room temperature and 300 rpm. Figs. 27 and 28 show a significant reduction in COF of the base oil with the addition of the NPs. This reduction is due to the NPs deposits on the contact surface and forming of a thin layer. Furthermore, if these NPs are unable to penetrate the contact area, their deposition on metal surface will be not effective, and the COF and the wear rate are increased. Also, the COF of the base and nano oils increases an increase of the applied load. However, the best ratio that reduces the COF is 0.5 wt%. The CNT oil indicates lower COF at 0.5 wt% than that of Al$_2$O$_3$ nano oil. Generally, a reduction of the COF of the base oil with the addition of the NPs agrees with the results of the dynamic viscosity. In addition, increasing the viscosity of the nano oils reduces the surface friction. This means that the viscosity is inversely proportional with the COF.

10.2. Load Effect On Wear Resistance

Figs. 29 and 30 show that the wear loss of the base oil decreases at 35 N and then it increases at 45 N. Fig. 29 shows that the 0.01 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ nano oil indicates lower wear loss at 25 N and 45 N than that base oil, while it exhibits the same value at 35 N. Also, 0.2 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ nano oil indicates lower wear loss than that base oil at 35 N and 45 N, while in general 0.5 wt% shows higher wear loss compared with the base oil at all loads. Fig. 30 indicates that 0.001 wt% CNT nano oil exhibits approximately the same behavior of 0.001 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ nano oil. Also, 0.2 wt% CNT oil shows lower wear loss than that base oil at 25 N and 45 N, while 0.5 wt% CNT oil produces lower wear loss than that base oil for all loads.
11. CONCLUSIONS

To study the advantages and disadvantages of dispersing nanomaterials in lubricant oil; different quantitative and qualitative tests must be performed. Size, shape and type of nanoparticles and nanotubes dispersed in oil have a strong effect on the performance of nanooil. Also, the stability of nanooil depending on the mixing process and surfactant type is another challenge. In this research, we examined the different properties giving a comprehensive picture to the final improvement. For example, the density decrease of nanooil compatible with the viscosity increase. Also, thermal conductivity increase, quantitative and qualitative thermal behavior in thermal images. The reduction in coefficient of friction and wear loss of both nanooil support the relationship between the thermal and tribology behavior. Furthermore, the viscosity and surface tension related to the poor point.

From the analysis above, it can be concluded the following items.

1. The density for Al₂O₃ nanooil indicates a small change at 0.001 and 0.2 wt%, and a decrease at 0.5 wt% ratio. Also, the surface tension of both nanooils is increased due to the NPs and CNT presenting.

2. The dynamic and kinematic viscosity increase an increase of the concentration of the NPs. Furthermore, the CNT oil indicates higher stability at 40°C than that of Al₂O₃ nanooil. Also, the Al₂O₃ nanooil indicates lower pour point than that of CNT.

3. There is a direct relation between flash, fire point and the concentrations of NPs and thermal behavior. The CNT oil indicates more dissipating friction heat and higher thermal conductivity than that of Al₂O₃ nanooils.

4. Thermal images is an effective tool to check the thermal behavior of nanooil in contact zone. The results of thermal image indicate a decrease in the heat of friction of nanooil compared to the base oil. CNT oil indicates lower heat of friction than that of Al₂O₃ nanooil.

5. A significant reduction in the coefficient of friction and wear loss shown for all nanooil and the CNT oil indicates lower COF than that of Al₂O₃ nanooil at 0.5 wt%.
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